

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
-United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,751	06/22/2001	Steven S. Kantner	55980USA1B.004	7737	
75	90 12/04/2002				
Attention: Robert W. Sprague Office of Intellectual Property Counsel			EXAMINER		
	Properties Company		YU, GI	YU, GINA C	
St. Paul, MN 5			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1617		
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/887,751	KANTNER ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gina C. Yu	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	ss			
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
<u> </u>	EPLY [check either a) or l	b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date later than SIX MONTHS from to SIX FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS addate on which the petition under extension and the correspond the shortened statutory period	he mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Se ler 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropri ding amount of the fee. The appropr for reply originally set in the final Offi	iate extension riate extension ice action; or			
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (r the mailing date of the final rejection	n, even if			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 October 2002</u>. A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	• •	•	n in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal t	by materially reducing or simpl	lifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely filed am	nendment			
∑ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which were no	ewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			lan			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .						
Claim(s) objected to: 9.						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 10-16.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.						
B. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		Had il				
		SREENI PADMANABHAN W	127/02/			





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The specific limitation in the proposed amendment claim 1 (b) is new and requires new search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection is maintained as indicated in the previous Office action dated June 3, 2002. Applicants' argument is based on the proposed claim amendment which will not be entered at this time. Furthermore, examiner notes that it is erroneous that patentable weight should be given to "improved film formation", as there is no such limitation. The pending claim 1 recites "composition capable of forming hydrophobic film". There is no limitation on improvement of film formation. The argument is not commensurate with the scope of the claim.

SREENI PADMANABHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

1) 27 CL